

Embedded Information Systems

4. Measuring time, clocks, clock synchronization

October 20, 2020

If the scheduler decides a task to run, then first the registers of the processor should be saved, after this the context of the new task should be loaded into the registers, and then comes the execution of the task.

The response time should be increased by time of this "context switch".

The computation time of the **higher priority tasks**, which pre-empt the execution of an actual task, **should be increased** by the time needed to perform **context switching**, as well.

Scheduling if the tasks are not independent: Resource Access Protocols

Except for the **time-sharing systems**, where the processor's capacity is shared among **independent users**, for most of the applications the runs of the different tasks **are not completely independent.** Tasks are **communicating** with each other, **exchange data**,

they are **waiting for results** from other tasks, they use **common resources**, and it can happen, that **higher priority** tasks **are blocked by** runs of **lower priority tasks**.

Let us recall the illustration of the priority-based scheduling!



If here task L would use such a resource, which is later also used by task H, then it might happen that task H should wait until the resource will be released.

Example:



This type of waiting is called **blocking**, because **lower priority** task **forces higher priority** task to **wait**.

This situation is called **priority inversion** because seemingly the priorities of task M and H are inverted.



Embedded Information systems, Lecture #6, October 20, 2020

Priority Inheritance Protocol (PIP): To avoid priority inversion, task **L** should dynamically inherit the priority of task **H** upon its request to enter the critical section. Thus, task **L** can complete the critical section much earlier and unlock semaphore **S1**. The inherited priority is called **dynamic priority**. After unlocking semaphore **S1** the static priority will be restored.



The **response time** of task **H** will be **much shorter**, and the worst-case blocking time equals the duration of the critical section of task **L**.

The worst-case response time will increase with the worst-case blocking time (B_i) :

$$R_i = C_i + \boldsymbol{B}_i + I_i = C_i + \boldsymbol{B}_i + \sum_{\forall k \in hp_i} \left| \frac{R_i}{T_k} \right| C_k$$

Deadlock avoidance:

The Priority Inheritance Protocol should be extended/modified if more common resources are to be handled. This is illustrated by the following figure:



Task L by locking semaphore S1 enters a critical section.
Within this critical section semaphore S2 will be also locked by task L. These two resources – with the given timing – are used by task H, as well.

As task H would like to lock semaphore S1, it will be blocked.

Task L inherits priority H, but trying to lock semaphore S2 it will also block. Both task H and L will wait for the other. This situation is called: **deadlock**. To avoid it **priority ceiling protocols** are used. **Priority Ceiling Protocol** (PCP): The basic idea of this method is to extend the PIP with a rule for granting a lock request on a free semaphore. To avoid multiple blocking, this rule does not allow a task to enter a critical section if there are locked semaphores that could block it.

This means that, once a task enters its first critical session, it can never be blocked by lower-priority tasks until its completion.

To realize this idea, each semaphore is assigned a priority ceiling equal to the priority of the highest-priority task that can lock it. Then, a task *i* can enter a critical section only if its priority is higher than all priority ceilings of the semaphores currently locked by tasks other than *i*. **The PCP protocol:**

- Each semaphore S_k is assigned a priority ceiling $C(S_k)$ equal to the priority of the highestpriority task that can lock it. Note that $C(S_k)$ is a static value that can be computed offline.
- Let τ_i be the task with the highest-priority among all tasks ready to run; thus, τ_i is assigned to the processor.
- Let S^* be the semaphore with the highest-priority ceiling among all the semaphores currently locked by tasks other than τ_i , and let $C(S^*)$ be its ceiling.
- To enter a critical section guarded by a semaphore S_k , τ_i must have a priority (P_i) higher than $C(S^*)$. If $P_i \leq C(S^*)$, the lock request is denied and τ_i is said to be blocked on semaphore S^* by the task that holds the lock on S^* .
- When a task *τ_i* is blocked on a semaphore, it transmits its priority to the task, say *τ_k*, that holds that semaphore. Hence, *τ_k* resumes and executes the rest of its critical section with the priority of *τ_i*. In general, a task inherits the highest priority of the task blocked by it.
 When *τ_k* exits a critical section, it unlocks the semaphore and the highest-priority job, if any, blocked on that semaphore is awakened. Moreover, the active priority of *τ_k* is updated as follows: if no other jobs are blocked by *τ_k*, its priority is set to the nominal (static) priority; otherwise it is set to the highest-priority of the tasks blocked by *τ_k*.



Reminder:

Example: The tasks to be scheduled with descending priority are: τ_0 , τ_1 , τ_2 . Their priorities: P_0 , P_1 and P_2 . The resources are guarded by semaphores S_0 , S_1 and S_2 . Their priority ceilings: $C(S_0) = P_0$, $C(S_1) = P_0$, $C(S_2) = P_1$.



Note that task τ_0 will be blocked even though the requested resource is not blocked.

The reason of this blocking is that task τ_2 is within a critical section guarded by semaphore S_1 the priority of which is equal of that of τ_0 .

Example: The tasks to be scheduled with descending priority are: $\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$. Their priorities:

 P_0, P_1, P_2 and P_3 . The resources are guarded by semaphores S_1 and S_2 . Their priority ceilings: $C(S_1) = P_0, C(S_2) = P_0.$ On the figure it is easy to follow



On the figure it is easy to follow the operation of the PCP protocol. "I" denotes the interference

intervals, while **"B**" stands for the blocking intervals.

The sum of these latter gives the effective blocking time,

the worst-case value of which equals length of the critical section of task τ_3 .



Reminder:

Immediate Priority Ceiling Protocol (IPCP):

The essence of the protocol is that the tasks entering a critical section **immediately** inherit the **ceiling priority** of the semaphore which guards the **critical section**!



Thus, on the figure below, task τ_3 at entering the critical section receives as dynamic priority P_0 , and will operate at this priority level till the end of the **critical section**.

The implementation of IPCP is **easier** than that of the PCP, and there are **less** task-switching, and consequently context switching.

It is interesting to note that **the semaphores** do not need implementation because after leaving the first critical section they **are and remain unlocked**!

It is also interesting to realize that using IPCP the response time of the highest priority task became shorter. The name IPCP in POSIX **is Priority Protect Protocol**, and in Real-Time Java: **Priority Ceiling Emulation**.

4. Measuring time, clocks, clock synchronization

Tools and methods:

(1) Measuring time using an electronic counter:

The gate time T_x generated by the source is the time duration to be measured.

on to be measured. \Box time interval to be measured: are N is the content of the counter, and f_0 stands for the clock frequency.

COUNTER

DISPLAY

 $\frac{\Delta T_x}{T_r} \cong \left| \frac{1}{N} \right| +$

We count the clock ticks during the



, where N is the content of the counter, and f_0 stands for the clock frequency. The approximate equality refers that N is always integer,

while $T_{x}f_{0}$ is not necessarily. The (worst-case) relative

Clock

Source

error of the time measurement: This equation can be derived from the complete differential

$$dT_x = \frac{\partial T_x}{\partial N} dN + \frac{\partial T_x}{\partial f_0} df_0 = \frac{1}{f_0} dN - \frac{N}{f_0^2} df_0$$
, which divided by $T_x = \frac{N}{f_0}$ gives $\frac{dT_x}{T_x} = \frac{dN}{N} - \frac{df_0}{f_0}$.

Since the sign of the changes is not known, therefore we use the absolute value of the changes and express the worst case relative error. (2) The dual vernier method:





The time from the **start** till the coincidence is $N_1T_0(1 + \delta)$, while from the **end** till the coincidence it is $N_2T_0(1 + \delta)$.

The time between the **two coincidences** is N_0T_0 . Thus $T_x = T_0[\pm N_0 + (N_1 - N_2)(1 + \delta)]$ where the sign before N_0 is determined by the order of the two coincidences If T_0 =5 nsec and δ =0.004, then the shortest measurable duration is 20psec. Clocks are the sources of the knowledge of time with a given accuracy:

The source of the knowledge of time is called **clock**.

Reference clock or standard clock: if $C_k(t) = t$; $\forall t$.

Correct clock: Clock k is correct in t_0 , if $C_k(t_0) = t_0$. **Accurate clock:** Clock k is accurate in t_0 , if $\frac{\partial C_k(t)}{\partial t} = 1$; $t = t_0$. Clock k is a function $C_k(t)$ of time, which maps real time to the time at clock k.

If a clock is inaccurate at some point of time, we say that the clock *drifts* at that point of time. **Physical clock:** Oscillator + counter, The output of the high-

