


Figure 1.4 Plots of polynomials having various orders M , shown as red curves, fitted to the data set shown in Figure 1.2.

Table 1.1 Table of the coefficients w^* for polynomials of various order. Observe how the typical magnitude of the coefficients increases dramatically as the order of the polynomial increases.

	$M = 0$	$M = 1$	$M = 6$	$M = 9$
w_0^*	0.19	0.82	0.31	0.35
w_1^*		-1.27	7.99	232.37
w_2^*			-25.43	-5321.83
w_3^*			17.37	48568.31
w_4^*				-231639.30
w_5^*				640042.26
w_6^*				-1061800.52
w_7^*				1042400.18
w_8^*				-557682.99
w_9^*				125201.43

Figure 1.5 Graphs of the root-mean-square error, defined by (1.3), evaluated on the training set and on an independent test set for various values of M .







Figure 1.6 Plots of the solutions obtained by minimizing the sum-of-squares error function using the $M = 9$ polynomial for $N = 15$ data points (left plot) and $N = 100$ data points (right plot). We see that increasing the size of the data set reduces the over-fitting problem.

Table 1.2 Table of the coefficients w^* for $M = 9$ polynomials with various values for the regularization parameter λ . Note that $\ln \lambda = -\infty$ corresponds to a model with no regularization, i.e., to the graph at the bottom right in Figure 1.4. We see that, as the value of λ increases, the typical magnitude of the coefficients gets smaller.

	$\ln \lambda = -\infty$	$\ln \lambda = -18$	$\ln \lambda = 0$
w_0^*	0.35	0.35	0.13
w_1^*	232.37	4.74	-0.05
w_2^*	-5321.83	-0.77	-0.06
w_3^*	48568.31	-31.97	-0.05
w_4^*	-231639.30	-3.89	-0.03
w_5^*	640042.26	55.28	-0.02
w_6^*	-1061800.52	41.32	-0.01
w_7^*	1042400.18	-45.95	-0.00
w_8^*	-557682.99	-91.53	0.00
w_9^*	125201.43	72.68	0.01




Figure 3.5 Illustration of the dependence of bias and variance on model complexity, governed by a regularization parameter λ , using the sinusoidal data set from Chapter 1. There are $L = 100$ data sets, each having $N = 25$ data points, and there are 24 Gaussian basis functions in the model so that the total number of parameters is $M = 25$ including the bias parameter. The left column shows the result of fitting the model to the data sets for various values of $\ln \lambda$ (for clarity, only 20 of the 100 fits are shown). The right column shows the corresponding average of the 100 fits (red) along with the sinusoidal function from which the data sets were generated (green).




Figure 3.3 Contours of the regularization term in (3.29) for various values of the parameter q .

Figure 3.4 Plot of the contours of the unregularized error function (blue) along with the constraint region (3.30) for the quadratic regularizer $q = 2$ on the left and the lasso regularizer $q = 1$ on the right, in which the optimum value for the parameter vector \mathbf{w} is denoted by \mathbf{w}^* . The lasso gives a sparse solution in which $w_1^* = 0$.





Figure 3.2 Geometrical interpretation of the least-squares solution, in an N -dimensional space whose axes are the values of t_1, \dots, t_N . The least-squares regression function is obtained by finding the orthogonal projection of the data vector \mathbf{t} onto the subspace spanned by the basis functions $\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$ in which each basis function is viewed as a vector φ_j of length N with elements $\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_n)$.







Figure 1.7 Plots of $M = 9$ polynomials fitted to the data set shown in Figure 1.2 using the regularized error function (1.4) for two values of the regularization parameter λ corresponding to $\ln \lambda = -18$ and $\ln \lambda = 0$. The case of no regularizer, i.e., $\lambda = 0$, corresponding to $\ln \lambda = -\infty$, is shown at the bottom right of Figure 1.4.




Figure 3.7 Illustration of sequential Bayesian learning for a simple linear model of the form $y(x, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x$. A detailed description of this figure is given in the text.




Figure 3.8 Examples of the predictive distribution (3.58) for a model consisting of 9 Gaussian basis functions of the form (3.4) using the synthetic sinusoidal data set of Section 1.1. See the text for a detailed discussion.




Figure 3.9 Plots of the function $y(x, \mathbf{w})$ using samples from the posterior distributions over \mathbf{w} corresponding to the plots in Figure 3.8.

Figure 3.13 Schematic illustration of the distribution of data sets for three models of different complexity, in which \mathcal{M}_1 is the simplest and \mathcal{M}_3 is the most complex. Note that the distributions are normalized. In this example, for the particular observed data set \mathcal{D}_0 , the model \mathcal{M}_2 with intermediate complexity has the largest evidence.

